

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/188,190 11/10/1998		11/10/1998	KATSUNORI KANEKO	1472-177P	4015
2292	7590	01/14/2005		EXAMINER	
		RT KOLASCH & BI	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, TU MINH	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3748	
				DATE MAILED: 01/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		•••					
Advisory Action	09/188,190	KANEKO ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tu M. Nguyen	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • •	•					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-21,23 and 24</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on <u>02 October 2002</u> is	s a)⊠ approved or b)⊡ disap	proved by the Examiner.					
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. ☐ Other:							
Tu M. Nguyen							
		1/12/05					
		.1101-2					

•

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/188,190

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment to base claim 23 that further claims "NOx regeneration control means for repeatedly releasing NOx adsorbed by the NOx catalyst every first interval outside the temperature range where SOx is releasable", raises new issues that would require further search and consideration.